

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE  
**Security Committee**

Serial: PerSSub-M-003-83  
15 February 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR THE CHAIRMAN, DCI SECURITY COMMITTEE

SUBJECT: DCID 1/14 Review

1. Pursuant to the instructions of the former SECOM Chairman, [REDACTED] (SECOM-D-204, 9 June 1982), the PerSSub has completed its review of DCID 1/14. The PerSSub report on this effort is enclosed.

STAT

2. Regarding the recommendations from the CIA Office of the General Counsel, I have included those comments in the enclosed report (Section E). I believe that PerSSub members would generally support those recommendations but since we did not receive them in time for consideration I cannot, of course, endorse them on behalf of the subcommittee.

3. Personnel security is a dynamic discipline. This past year's activities demonstrate that. In fact, it is the constant change in the field, and our response to that change, that makes our programs viable. However, I believe that some consideration should be given to reviewing DCI security policy documents, at least DCID 1/14, on a piecemeal basis limited as appropriate to single issues. Otherwise, any comprehensive review of the DCID will continuously be delayed by new developments. This is what has happened to the current DCID 1/14 rewrite, e.g., DoD Select Panel Report, ten year scope coverage proposal, OSD aperiodic polygraph of SCI personnel, etc.

4. As I forward this report to you, DoD is about to embark on a periodic reinvestigation program with scope coverage that clearly exceeds that specified by DCID 1/14. Should the DCID review be held up long enough to consider an upgrading of our reinvestigation policy? The answer is clearly "No" in light of IC Staff pressure to complete the review of DCIDs. However, in good security conscience I do not believe we should ignore the efficacy of the DoD reinvestigation initiative and the potential impact such a policy could have if adopted within the community at large. Of course there are other personnel security issues outstanding, the development of which might impact on IC personnel security policy. I wish to assure you that the Personnel Security Subcommittee is keeping abreast of these developments.

5. In light of the foregoing, I recommend that you give some thought to a mechanism which will provide for a more timely response to personnel security issues in DCID 1/14. I make this recommendation fully aware of the problems inherent in doing business by committee. No matter how interested and committed individual members are - and PerSSub members all get high marks for these criteria - everyone has a full time job outside of the committee process. Perhaps an accord could be reached with the Intelligence Community Staff whereby it is understood that DCID 1/14 amendments would be surfaced on an as-needed basis, which might be several times a year.

6. I, of course, will be available during any SECOM deliberations on the PerSSub review of DCID 1/14. If you need any further elucidation of subcommittee rationale or position, do not hesitate to call me.



STAT

Chairman  
Personnel Security Subcommittee

Encl:  
a/s